



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.		Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov		
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY	
09/702,870	11/01/2000	Keiichi Den	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
70			ROH-030	9736
759 Mania - 1 6111	10/22/2002			
Monica Millne				
Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC Suite 501			EXAMINER	
1233 20th Street	. N.W		THAI, LUAN C	
Washington, DC	20036			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	an
09/702,870	DEN, KEIICHI	W.C
Examiner	 Art Unit	
Luan Thai	2827	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 07 October 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)
	a) The period for reply expires months from the moltiment of the second
	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION OF A MEET TOOK OF THE FINAL REJECTION.
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
1	Proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	The state and loads of flew finaltier (see Note below).
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	300 Continuation Sheet.
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
}	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
_	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) _ approved or b) _ disapproved by the Examiner.
	with distance information disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10	J. Other:
	ALBERT W. PALADINI
	ALBERT W. PALADINI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application No.





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment with the changes in claims 7, 13, 15,16, and 22-25 would substantially alter the scope of the original claims, Therefore, the proposed amendment would require further search and/or consideration.